

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
			EXAMINER
			EAGINET
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/053.040

Karl D. Frech

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit 2076

Kudo

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]

- x expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the linal

Examiner

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The Any expension or one may be obtained by ming a between times 37 km. in order, the proposed response and the appropriate letter in the purposed which the response, the perition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date the purposed of the feet many time perition or account and the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be of determining the perition or account of the fee. and a section of the parameter of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set form in or advise.

Dec 27, 1900 (or within any X. Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).

but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

X The proposed amendment(s):

will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.

- X will not be entered because:
 - X_{\parallel} they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).

they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).

they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal

they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: the amendment of claim 1 from "the plurality of semiconductor chips arrayed on" to --at least one seminconductor chip manufactured from-- alters and broadens the scope of the invention as finally rejected, which would require further consideration and/or search.

Applicant's response	has	overcome	the	following	rejection(s)
----------------------	-----	----------	-----	-----------	--------------

	would be allowable if submitted in a
Newty proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
separate, timely mad discount of	NOT place the application in con-

The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

X/Fc purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Chims allowed: Cams objected to:

Elaims rejected: 1-21 has _ has not been approved by the Examiner The proposed drawing correction filed on

facte the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

Other

PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2876